

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/600,071	06/20/2003	Daniel H. Colby	2518/25	6462
7590 02/20/2004			EXAMINER	
Jeffrey A. Pine			HEPPERLE, STEPHEN M	
BANIAK PINE & GANNON 150 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60606-1606			3753	
			DATE MAILED: 02/20/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/600,071	COLBY, DANIEL H
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen M. Hepperle	3753
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS atute, cause the application to become ABANE	be timely filed)) days will be considered timely. i from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	•	
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 June 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objecte the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. rection is required if the drawing(s)	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. Lents have been received in Apploriority documents have been received in Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage
Aug A		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/M	fail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/600,071

Art Unit: 3753

The drawings are objected to because it is unclear what the assembled valve looks like on the inside. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the term "tournament cap" is not understood. The adjective "tournament" has been given no weight in examining the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kearney in view of Pinke. Kearney shows a regulator housing, said regulator housing comprises a piston housing (25), a gas distribution body (1), an adjustment nut (24), a spacer (unnumbered, above the spring), a compression spring (23), a piston (Fig. 2), a pin (12), a pin spring (16), and a seat (7), said regulator housing is configured such that when said piston is inserted in to said piston housing, said pin is positioned within said seat and said piston is positioned against said pin that is supported by said pin spring, said compression spring and said adjustment nut are inserted into said piston housing, respectively, said adjustment nut being located inside of and extending out

Application/Control Number: 10/600,071

Art Unit: 3753

of said piston housing, said adjustment nut is capable of being rotated to adjust said outlet pressure of said regulator. No "tournament cap" is shown. Pinke shows a pressure regulator with an adjustment nut 86 extending out of housing 79, on which a cap 89 is mounted. It would have been obvious in view of Pinke to mount a cap over the adjuster to discourage tampering of the setting, to provide a further means to keep dirt out of the piston housing, and/or to help lock the adjustment nut in position.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Politi shows a similar device, only lacking a "tournament cap" and a separate piston housing (except Fig. 7, where the adjuster is the piston housing). Christensen shows a cap 25 behind adjuster 24. Adler has an adjuster that extends out of a separate piston housing, and a cap 3.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Hepperle whose telephone number is 703-308-1051. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on 703-308-1272. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753

SMH